

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,577 12/31/2003		12/31/2003	Kristine B. Fuimaono	51645/AW/W 112	8974	
23363	7590	03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PO BOX 706		R & HALE, LLP	COHEN	COHEN, LEE S		
PASADENA		109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3739		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/749,577	10/749,577 FUIMAONO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lee S. Cohen	3739					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	ation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statut to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be provided by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howed ication. days, a reply within the statutory mintory period will apply and will expire I, by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□ 1	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	al.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the apparance of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consider						
Applicatio	n Papers							
•	he specification is objected to by the lead to be the lead on is/are: a		ected to by the Examiner.					
F	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	e correction is required if the	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
·	·	y the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action of form	1 10-132.				
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International terms the attached detailed Office action in the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action in the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action in the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action in the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action in the copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the linear the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the certified copies of th	ocuments have been rece ocuments have been rece the priority documents ha Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. sived in Application No ave been received in this Nation (a)).	al Stage				
Attachment(s	\$)							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/04;4/19/04</u> .	9-948) (O/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

The current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be updated at page 1 of the specification.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-82 of U.S. Patent No. 6,628,976. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent obvious changes in scope of the same patentable invention.

Claim Objections

Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 22 "55 " is vague.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-34 would be allowable upon the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

LSC February 14, 2005